

I.K. Akhunbaev KYRGYZ STATE MEDICAL ACADEMY

Report on the satisfaction of the teaching staff with the quality of the organization of the educational process 2020.

Bishkek 2020

The report was prepared by the staff of the quality management department (CQEO) of the KSMA.

CQEO expresses gratitude to the KSMA staff for their help in providing information and organizing the survey, as well as to the Department of Software and System Support, represented by the head Jenishbek kyzy Mira, for technical assistance and assistance in organizing the survey of the teaching staff of the KSMA.

CQEO also expresses gratitude to the staff of the departments for the correct perception and active participation in the discussion of the results of the assessments.

On the basis of Order No. 75 of February 27, 2020 on conducting a questionnaire to assess the satisfaction of the teaching staff of the KSMA, all employees of the departments (main, part-time / part-time workers in FPME) had the opportunity to take part in the survey.

To participate in the questionnaire, the teachers used a personal login. the survey was conducted at AVN.

Assessment of teaching staff satisfaction with the quality of the organization of the educational process was held from 05.03.2020 to 26.04.2020

The total number of teaching staff - 1162 (data as of April 1, 2020) The total number of respondents - 498 (43%)

Of them:

			Table 1
main employee	35		71.2%
	5		
part-time internal part-	47	118	23.8%
time external	71		
FPME teacher	24		5%

Fig. 1


As can be seen in Fig. 1, 71% are main employees, 14.2% are external part-time workers, 9.4% are internal part-time workers and 4.85 are teachers at the postgraduate level.



According to the position held, the following data were obtained:

Please ind	icate your position at the department		
•	professor / acting Professor Associate	23	five%
•	Professor / Acting associate professor	104	21%
•	assistant	245	49%
•	Senior Lecturer	15	3%
•	teacher	81	16%
•	head of department /	29	48%
	Acting Head of the Department		

Fig. 2




1. Satisfaction with the organization of the educational process:

Table 3

In general, I am	satisfied with the quality of the	he organizatio	on of the educat	tional process
		qty	2	% (of total)
•	I completely agree	199	473	95%
•	I agree	274		
•	Doubt	21	21	4.3%
•	Disagree	2	3	0.7%
•	Strongly disagree	1		

Fig. 3



- I completely agree
- I agree
- Doubt
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Information on the organization of educational process essa and events are available and received timely						
•	I completely agree	212	474	95.1%		
•	I agree	262				
•	Doubt	19	19	3.8%		
•	Disagree	4r	4	0.2%		
•	Strongly disagree	0				





Table 5

I am satisfied with the content of curricula and plans					
•	I completely agree	179	458	92%	
•	I agree	279			
•	Doubt	35	35	7%	
•	Disagree	4	4	0.2%	
•	Strongly disagree	0			

Fig. 5



Table 6

Tuble 0						
The educational	The educational process is provided with educational and trainingebno-methodscic literature					
•	I completely agree	159	431	86.5%		
•	I agree	272				
•	Doubt	46	46	9.3%		
•	Disagree	17	20	4.2%		
•	Strongly disagree	3				




Table 7					
The teaching loa	d of the teacher by semesters	is distributed	evenly		
•	I completely agree	185	444	89.1%	
•	I agree	259			
•	Doubt	31	31	6.3%	
•	Disagree	20	22	4.6%	
•	Strongly disagree	2			








Table 8

The opinion of teachers is taken into account when making decisions regarding the organization				
educational p	process			
•	I completely agree	162	432	87%
•	I agree	270		
•	Doubt	50	50	10%
•	Disagree	14	15	3%
•	Strongly disagree	4		






Table 14

schedulecla	sses convenient			4
•	I completely agree	166	431	87%
•	I agree	265		
•	Doubt	47	47	9%
•	Disagree	16		
•	Strongly disagree	3	19	4%







Table 10

The existing poi	nt-rating system for assessing	g students' kno	wledge is c	elear and effective
•	I completely agree	11	372	75%
		9		
•	I agree	253		
•	Doubt	98	98	20%
•	Disagree	22	27	5%
•	Strongly disagree	5	e	

Fig. 10





- Strongly disagree

Table 11

I actively use an automa	ated control system			
•	I completely agree	125	399	80%
•	I agree	274		
•	Doubt	81	81	16.3%
•	Disagree	15	17	3.6%
•	Strongly disagree	2		

Table 14 5



Table	12
raute	14

1000 12					
At the department, I have access to a computer and tocopiednew technology					
	I completely agree	224	453	91%	
	I agree	229			
	Doubt	24	24	4.8%	
	Disagree	19	20	4%	
	Strongly disagree	4			

Fig. 12






Table 13

I know and apply innovative teaching methods					
	I completely agree	212	475	95.3%	
	I agree	263			
	Doubt	22	22	4.5%	
	Disagree	0			
	Strongly disagree	0			

Fig. 13



Fig11

I am satisfie	ed with the social support of the t	eaching staff			4
•	I completely agree	175	424	85.1%	
•	I agree	249			
•	Doubt	54	54	11%	
•	Disagree	15	19	4%	
•	Strongly disagree	4			





Table 15

I am satisfied with the increase in salary over the past 3 years				
•	I completely agree	256	448	90%
•	I agree	192		
•	Doubt	28	28	6%
•	Disagree	12	21	4%
•	Strongly disagree	9		





1. Mission and Development Strategy of KSMA

Table 16

I share th	I share the adopted Mission and Development Strategy of KSMA				
•	I completely agree	246	485	97.3%	
•	I agree	239			
•	Doubt	1		2.4%	
		2			
•	Disagree	0			
•	Strongly disagree	0			